#### §456.112

- (f) Date of operating room reservation, if applicable.
- (g) Justification of emergency admission, if applicable.
- (h) Reasons and plan for continued stay, if the attending physician believes continued stay is necessary.
- (i) Other supporting material that the committee believes appropriate to be included in the record.

#### §456.112 Records and reports.

The UR plan must describe-

- (a) The types of records that are kept by the committee; and
- (b) The type and frequency of committee reports and arrangements for their distribution to appropriate individuals.

#### § 456.113 Confidentiality.

The UR plan must provide that the identities of individual recipients in all UR records and reports are kept confidential.

UR PLAN: REVIEW OF NEED FOR ADMISSION 1

#### §456.121 Admission review required.

The UR plan must provide for a review of each recipient's admission to the hospital to decide whether it is needed, in accordance with the requirements of §§ 456.122 through 456.129.

## § 456.122 Evaluation criteria for admission review.

The UR plan must provide that-

- (a) The committee develops written medical care criteria to assess the need for admission; and
- (b) The committee develops more extensive written criteria for cases that its experience shows are—
  - (1) Associated with high costs;
- (2) Associated with the frequent furnishing of excessive services; or

(3) Attended by physicians whose patterns of care are frequently found to be questionable.

### § 456.123 Admission review process.

The UR plan must provide that—

- (a) Admission review is conducted by—
  - (1) The UR committee;
- (2) A subgroup of the UR committee; or
  - (3) A designee of the UR committee;
- (b) The committee, subgroup, or designee evaluates the admission against the criteria developed under §456.122 and applies close professional scrutiny to cases selected under §456.129(b);
- (c) If the committee, subgroup, or designee finds that the admission is needed, the committee assigns an initial continued stay review date in accordance with §456.128;
- (d) If the committee, subgroup, or designee finds that the admission does not meet the criteria, the committee or a subgroup that includes at least one physician reviews the case to decide the need for admission;
- (e) If the committee or subgroup making the review under paragraph (d) of this section finds that the admission is not needed, it notifies the recipient's attending physician and gives him an opportunity to present his views before it makes a final decision on the need for the continued stay;
- (f) If the attending physician does not present additional information or clarification of the need for the admission, the decision of the committee or subgroup is final; and
- (g) If the attending physician presents additional information or clarification, at least two physician members of the committee review the need for the admission. If they find that the admission is not needed, their decision if final.

# § 456.124 Notification of adverse decision.

The UR plan must provide that written notice of any adverse final decision on the need for admission under § 456.123 (e) through (g) is sent to—

- (a) The hospital administrator;
- (b) The attending physician;
- (c) The Medicaid agency;
- (d) The recipient; and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Department was enjoined in 1975 in the case of American Medical Assn. et al. v. Weinberger, 395 F. Supp. 515 (N.D. Ill., 1975), aff'd., 522 F2d 921 (7th cir., 1975) from implementing the admission review requirements contained in §§ 456.121–456.127. This case was dismissed on the condition that these requirements be revised. They are presently being revised, and will not be in force until that revision is completed.